Quantitatively scoring behavior from video-recorded, long-lasting fish trajectories
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A B S T R A C T

Scoring animal behavior is increasingly needed for better understanding ecological processes. For example, behavior shapes harvesting likelihood, thus management of harvested resources should improve after accounting for behavior-driven processes. Automatic video-recording at controlled arenas is the most widespread method for scoring behavior. However, long term tracking animals while keeping identity is still an opened challenge. Here, we develop an ad-hoc algorithm for multi-tracking objects during days or even weeks, to fulfill the particular needs for a behavioral assay concerning a fish species targeted by recreational fishing. Specifically, we overcome the challenge of keeping fish identity in a context where they often disappeared from the camera when entering a shelter, the pixel size was low compared to the size of the arena and the lighting was constrained by the wellbeing of the fish. This work may contribute to better assess the behavioral features of fish in long-lasting lab conditions.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Animals in wild populations are continuously at risk of being predated or harvested but some individuals have larger survival likelihood than others. For example, it is well known that hunting and fishing implies size-related selection (Matsumura et al. (2011); Uusi-Heikkilä et al. (2015)). Behavior-related selection has been reported as well (Biro and Sampson (2015); Ciuti et al. (2012); Diaz Pauli et al. (2015); Härkönen et al. (2016); Jørgensen and Holt (2013); Madden and Whiteside (2014)), so certain behavioral phenotypes are selected against (Alós et al. (2012); 2015); Diaz Pauli et al. (2015); Klefoth et al. (2013); Vainikka et al. (2016)). When the traits under selection are genetically heritable, the population may be driven to a harvest induced evolution (de Roos et al. (2006); Law (2007); (Philipp et al., 2015); Uusi-Heikkilä et al. (2015)), which implies a number of unintended and undesired outcomes that ultimately reduce yield (Matsumura et al. (2011); Mollet et al. (2016)).

However, such negative outcomes on population dynamics are expected only when selection gradients are consistent in time, that is, when a specific animal exhibits a given personality (i.e., it tends to behave in a similar way). The concept of animal personality (Dingemanse and Wolf (2010), 2013; Mittelbach et al. (2014)) is based in two patterns. First, the existence of between-individual differences in behavior that are consistently repeated at the long term (i.e., behavioral types) is often recognizable over the within-individual variability. Second, several behavioral traits are often correlated, shaping what it has been named as behavioral syndromes (Sih et al. (2004)), thus animal personality can be scored after knowing how the animal uses space in a number of contexts. Technological improvements have led to the widespread use of underwater devices for data collection, continuous monitoring and estimates of population parameters in the field (Williams et al. (2010); Aguzzi et al. (2013). Indeed, cabled video observatories for the remote, long-term and high-frequency monitoring of fish and their environment have been used in coastal temperate areas (Aguzzi et al. (2013); 2015); Mataos et al. (2014)) to study behavioural response to environmental changes or human perturbations (Mecho et al. (2017)). Some studies in the field by using camera devices (e.g., (Alós et al. (2015); Mecho et al. (2017)) have already focused on the role of the behaviour in processes of
patterns of space use but only after a long-lasting observation time. Development of behavior focused management is seemingly not within the actual limitation for a more widespread implementation at the individual level is required. Therefore, the actual limitation for a more widespread implementation of behavior focused management is seemingly not because rigorous statistical analysis of the behavioral patterns associated with movement involve not only long-lasting experiences but also unsupervised extraction of a number of space-use metrics (Papadakis et al. (2012)).

Unsupervised tracking of moving targets over a video sequence has been found feasible of a small scenario (Burghardt et al. (2004); Danke et al. (2009); de Chaumont et al. (2012); Kabra et al. (2012); Kühl and Burghardt (2013) and Trucco and Plakas (2006)). Even concerning the specific case of fish tracking, several techniques have been proposed (Delcourt et al. (2013); Dell et al. (2014) for a review)), enabling advances in behavioral studies. Moreover, the tracking algorithm has been coupled with proper statistical tools for extracting a number of metrics related with space use from fish trajectories, which will be used for analyzing of fish behavior and scoring fish personality.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Model species

Serranus scriba is a simultaneous hermaphrodite serranid, widely distributed in the Mediterranean Sea. It is heavily exploited by the local recreational angling fishery (Alós et al. (2012)), which preferentially captures individuals with low reproductive investment and high adult body size (Alós et al. (2014)). Further, evidences of behavioural-related selection on exploration rates has been reported (Alós et al. (2012)).

2.2. Capture and tagging procedure

Fish were obtained from the wild by angling in coastal waters (from 5 up to 10 m depth) near the research experimental station (LIMIA), in Andratx Bay, on the SW coast of Mallorca, where experiments were conducted. Fish were double tagged with T-tags painted in different fluorescent colours (white (0), red (R) and green (G) tags were combined in pairs). Tagging was done by means of a hollow-needle tagging-gun according to (Dell (1968)). Length and weight measures were taken at the same time. Manipulation time did not exceed 1 min. Overall, transport, tagging and release into tank procedure took about 30 min. No mortality was observed neither during this procedure, nor during the experiment.

The experiments were conducted with adult fish (total body length \(15.7 \pm 1.9\) cm; mean mass \(53 \pm 21.5\) g; mean \(\pm\) standard deviation). Fish were distributed in groups of 5 individuals of approximately the same size (0.6 individuals/m\(^2\)). Temperature water was the same as that of the sea (26.2 \(\pm\) 0.6C; mean \(\pm\) 1 standard deviation over all days of trials).

2.3. Scenario and experiment description

2.3.1. Scenario

The experimental tank, with dimensions 4 m \(\times\) 2 m (length \(x\) with) and 30 cm water depth, was filled with sea-water and fitted with an open circuit filtering system. Due to the evidences that the behavioral performance of fish may be affected by environmental light conditions (e.g. Marchesan et al. (2005); Berdahl et al. (2013)), the experimental tank was illuminated emulating the natural light conditions of the habitat of the species, and at the same time covering the requirement of an homogeneous illumination in all the extension of the tank. The experimental light atmosphere was
provided by a light system (LED strip lights) with three-modes on/off switching that automatically let the transition from 6500 K white daylight to 460 nm blue sunset light to darkness. A diurnal-nocturnal sequence of illumination was projected onto the water (i.e., a day: night light cycle). Specifically, diurnal conditions ranged from 7am to 7pm (white light was switched on an hour after the blue one and was switched off an hour before to ensure a progressive illumination of the tank with conditions similar to the sunrise and sunset ones); and the darkness conditions ranged from 7pm to 7am. The experimental tank was divided in two areas: the shelter (1 m long) and the arena (3 m long). Shelter was done with stone blocks and artificial plastic plants to provide a safe area for the fish (Fig. 1). To ensure fish were not disturbed during the experiment and to avoid changes in the illumination conditions that could affect the quality or recordings, the tank was isolated from the rest of the laboratory with black curtains, avoiding in that way changes in illumination or reflexes in the surface of the water from abroad; and minimizing visual stimulus or other unintended stimulus. Cameras and lights were controlled remotely, out of sight of the experimental arena.

2.3.2. Camera and electronic equipment

An Axis P1428-E IP camera captures the arena images from a zenithal position (nearly 2 m above the water surface) and send them to a computer in order to be processed. The Axis P1428-E camera is compact and outdoor-ready providing up to 8.3 MP/4K HD of resolution at 30 frames per second. To achieve real-time requirements the camera works at 10 frames per second. The computer has a Intel® i7 CPU with 6 cores and 12 threads, 32 GB of RAM and 2 TB of hard disk. The software, developed in python 3.5 using Opencv 3.0, runs on Ubuntu 16.04 OS. That machine has also a Matlab® 2016a license to perform post-processing tasks. The time required to process an image is always less than 90ms. The system is configured to process ten images per second.

2.3.3. Experiment description

The general objective was to describe the trajectories and the space use of every fish within groups of a maximum of 5 individuals. Fish were identified with a combination of one or two red (R) or green (G) tags, according the combinations: R, RR, RG, GG (Fig. 2). A given fish group remained in the tank for one or two weeks. During such a time, fish were submitted to several behavioral test every day. Behavioral tests are fully detailed elsewhere (Campos-Candelal et al., in prep). However, provided that the objective of this paper is to demonstrate the usefulness of the general strategy, here we focused in a single behavioral test. Exploration test is aimed to describe the space use when a new item is located at the center of the arena (Walsh and Cummins (1976); Adriaenssens and Johnsson (2013)). One exploration test was completed daily between 8:30am to 10:30am. Here we focused in the first four exploration tests experienced by a given fish group.

2.4. Multi-tracking algorithm

Let us consider an incoming color image \( I_n(x, y) \) (\( x \) and \( y \) indexes pixel positions and \( n \) frames) which is pre-processed time-to-time in order to detect and identify fisheries by means of tag colors. \( I_n(x, y) \) is composed by their red, green and blue components \( R_n(x, y), G_n(x, y) \), and \( B_n(x, y) \). Although to simplify notation \( I_n \) is indicated as \( R_n \) and \( G_n \) components. The first step consists on the subtraction of the remaining background red and green components which in small proportions are present in the water from the original image. The result is multiplied by a factor 1.8 as it is shown:

\[
R_n \leftarrow 1.8(R_n \text{ } - \text{ } r_n \text{ } O)
\]

\[
G_n \leftarrow 1.8(G_n \text{ } - \text{ } g_n \text{ } O)
\]

\( \text{O} \) stands for the all-ones matrix and \( r_n \) and \( g_n \) are measures of the red and green background levels. Values \( r_n \) and \( g_n \) are computed every frame in order to follow possible variations of lighting. Such values are obtained by computing the mean of all points inside a sub-image which is large enough to average the effect of a tag inside it in the moment of the update. The factor 1.8 have been obtained empirically and it depends on the lighting. Proceeding in this way the pixels from red and green tags will reach levels near saturation. That operation will simplify color detection further down.

Then, \( R_n, G_n, B_n \) components are used to compute two of the three \( \text{HSV} \) color space components: \( H_n \) and \( V_n \), while \( S_n \) is not required because the modification performed in \( R_n \) and \( G_n \) by 1 and 2 makes detection independent of saturation and very few dependent of \( V_n \).

\( H_n \) and \( V_n \) are used to construct \( BR_n \) and \( BG_n \) binary images from which the red and green objects will be detected respectively. The \( \text{HSV} \) color space is closed to the way in which humans perceive color. In \( \text{HSV} \), hue \( (H) \) represents color which are clearly defined according human perception, value \( (V) \) is the brightness of the color and saturation \( (S) \) indicates the range of grey in the color space. Considering that \( H_n \) and \( V_n \) take values in the range 0–1, \( BR_n \) and \( BG_n \) matrices are obtained by applying the next conditions to their pixels according to:

\[
BR_n = \left( (H_n < 0.0894) \text{ } or \text{ } (H_n > 0.7821) \right) \text{ } and \text{ } (V_n > 0.38)
\]

and

\[
BG_n = \left( (H_n > 0.1117) \text{ } and \text{ } (H_n < 0.5028) \right) \text{ } and \text{ } (V_n > 0.38)
\]

Then, \( BR_n \) and \( BG_n \) present groups of connected pixels of value 1 concentrated in the small regions where red and green tags (if any) appear, respectively. \( BR_n \) and \( BG_n \) provide the area of interest (ROI) in the original image. The pixel positions of those small connected regions identify red and green tags from the background (0s). By identifying those regions and computing their center of masses and areas (in number of pixels) the specimens present in each image are precisely located.

However, previously to characterize tags, two major issues must be attended. The first; due to structural constrains in the emplacement of lights, some reflections appear inevitably in the scenario and there is not a perfect uniform lighting in all the area. The strategy of dynamically adapt the red and green components presented in 1, 2 fights against non uniform lighting, but light
reflections contain some red and green components that causes false object detection. The simplest way to combat it is by eliminating those regions with a mask \( M \). That mask is obtained by detecting the areas where reflections are produced, and applying a morphological opening to be sure of capturing reflections when they move for the presence of small waves in the water surface.

That causes the lose of tags in the moment that fishes crosses the zone of reflections in the similar way that tags are lost when they suffer an occlusion. The second; the operations described in 3 and 4 occasionally split a single tag in more than one region. So, previous to count regions and computing sizes and centers, a morphological closing must be done in order that disconnected closed regions will be reconnected. That operation is performed by a square of \( 5 \times 5 \) pixels as structuring element (SE). The closing is obtained by applying the dilatation of \( BR_n \) (and \( BG_n \)) by SE, followed by the erosion of the outcome by the same SE. The size of SE will depend on the image resolution and the size of tags.

Then, for each frame \( n \), centroids of red and green detected objects are stored in \( CR_n \) and \( CG_n \) lists ordered by their areas in descending order. Areas are also stored in \( AR_n \) and \( AG_n \) lists. \( CR_n \) and \( CG_n \) are lists of points of different lengths containing their \( x \), \( y \) coordinates and their frame number. If no detection of red color is produced in frame \( n \) then corresponding \( CR_n \) and \( AR_n \) remain empty. In order to introduce the notation used, lets suppose that in frame number 256 there was observed three red regions; then \( CR_{256} \) could take the form shown in 5 and the information stored inside can be addressed as it is shown in 6:

\[
CR_{256} = \begin{bmatrix}
    x_1 & y_1 & 256 \\
    x_2 & y_2 & 256 \\
    x_3 & y_3 & 256 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

\( CR_{256}(2) = [x_2 \ y_2 \ 256] \); \( CR_{256}(2)(1:2) = [x_2 \ y_2] \) (6)

Note that we only need \( CR_n \), \( CG_n \), \( AR_n \), and \( AG_n \) to register the whole experiment. This data are obtained and stored in real-time. From these data the tracks can be obtained by applying different strategies that can be executed not necessarily in real-time. In this way any algorithmic improvement can always be tested again.

2.4.2. Early identification and post-processing stage

Theoretically, according section 2.3, only four tags of the same color are significant to identify the five possible specimens in the scene, however there are considered a maximum of 5 objects of the same color in order to deal with possible classification errors. That step is done once per frame and do not require analyzing past results. For every frame \( n \), the early classification takes information from \( CR_n \), \( CG_n \), \( AR_n \) and \( AG_n \) as inputs and computes the lists of positions \( p_{RR_n} \), \( p_{RG_n} \), \( p_{RG_n} \), \( p_{GG_n} \), \( p_{GR_n} \), \( p_{GC_n} \) by taking information of colors and analyzing distances of centroids. As multiple assignment errors can appear caused by detection mistakes, in that first classification we admit the possibility of obtaining more than one individual identified as having same tag. That occurs, for instance, if in one frame there are detected more than one R because individual RR or RG present in the scene are bad detected. A post-processing step will correct those isolated cases. However, if in frame \( n \) there is no presence of a particular specimen, its corresponding list remains empty. Early identification pseudo-code is provided in algorithm 3 in which constants \( A_R = 250 \) and \( A_S = 5 \) are empirically assigned as they are dependent on the scene, the camera resolution and the size of tags. \( A_R \) is used to control cases where double tags of the same color are merged to form a bigger one and \( A_S \) controls some noise introduced occasionally in by light reflections. Basic red and green tag identification and early fish identification strongly compacts information required for tracking specimens as we replace a high resolution image by the few values stored in the those lists. Those list of few elements per frame avoid to transfer or not. The post-processing stage will allow future algorithm corrections we have considered the reallocation by applying logical restrictions we have considered the reallocation by applying logical criteria and computing space-time distances. After applying those algorithms \( p_{RR_n} \), \( p_{RG_n} \), \( p_{RG_n} \), \( p_{GG_n} \), \( p_{GR_n} \), \( p_{GC_n} \) have a maximum of one element in position \( n \) otherwise they are empty. Fig. 3 shows the simplified sequence of operations of the early identification stage in a block diagram. The following sequence of operations, which we call the post-processing stage, can be performed indistinctly in real time, because they are computationally very efficient, or not. The post-processing stage will allow future algorithm
improvements and it is mainly based on Kalman filtering, which is explained in next section. The results of the early identification stage are shown in Fig. 4 a for a time-sequence of 500 frames. Then, in the post-processing stage, a linear Kalman filter is used to obtain positions and instant velocities, as well as to estimate state variables when measures are not available due to occlusions or failures in tag segmentation. Fig. 4 b shows, for the same sequence of 500 frames, the track coordinates obtained after applying the Kalman filter. Those tracks are represented in figure.

2.4.3. The Kalman filter

According to the state space linear model:

\[ x_n = Ax_{n-1} + w_n \]  

where vector \( x_n = [x_n,y_n]^T \) is the state variable at instant \( n \) and \((x,y)\) and \((v_x,v_y)\) define position and velocity components of a given specimen, respectively. \( A \) is the state transition matrix:

```
Algorithm 1: Early classification

Data: Cr, Gof, ar, ag, pCr, pGof, pRR, pRG, pGG, pG
begin
  l ← length(ar); ng ← length(ag); nr ← 0; ng ← 0; fish ← []
  for i ← 1 to l do
    Cr(i) ← 0
    if ar(i) > Ab then
      fish ← Cr(i); fish ← Cr(i)
      nr ← nr + 2
    else if ar(i) > Ag and ar(i) ≤ Ab then
      fish ← Cr(i)
      nr ← nr + 1
  for i ← 1 to ng do
    Gof(i) ← 0
    if ag(i) > Ab then
      fish ← Gof(i); fish ← Gof(i)
      ng ← ng + 2
    else if ag(i) > Ag and ag(i) ≤ Ab then
      fish ← Gof(i)
      ng ← ng + 1
  nT ← nr + ng
  if nT == 1 then
    pRR ← fish(1,1,2)
    /* R */
  else
    pRG ← fish(1,1,2)
    /* G */
  else
    NF ← size(fish)
    for e ← 1 to NF do
      for a ← e to NF do
        d ← distance(fish(e,1,2),fish(a,1,2))
        if d < 30 and fish(e,5) == 0 and e ≠ a then
          if e < nr and a ≤ nr then
            pRR ← 0.5(fish(e,1,2)+fish(a,1,2))
            fish(e,3) ← 1; fish(a,3) ← 1
            /* RR */
          else if e ≤ nr and a > nr then
            pRG ← 0.5(fish(e,1,2)+fish(a,1,2))
            fish(e,3) ← 1; fish(a,3) ← 1
            /* RG */
          else if e > nr and e < nT and a ≤ nT and a > nr + 1 then
            pGG ← 0.5(fish(e,1,2)+fish(a,1,2))
            fish(e,3) ← 1; fish(a,3) ← 1
            /* GG */
          else if (e==e and a==0) or (d > 30) and fish(e,5) == 0 then
            if e > nr and a == nT then
              pGG ← fish(e,1,2)
              fish(e,3) ← 1
              /* G */
            else if e ≤ nr and a == nT then
              pRR ← fish(e,1,2)
              fish(e,3) ← 1
              /* R */
```
The measurement equation takes the form:

\[ \mathbf{z}_n = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}_n + \mathbf{v}_n, \]  

being \( \mathbf{z}_n \) the vector of measures (i.e. when dealing with RR specimen \( \mathbf{z}_n = \mathbf{p}_{\text{RR}} \)), \( \mathbf{v}_n \) the vector of measurement noise for which it is also assumed a zero mean normal distribution with covariance \( \mathbf{R}_n \) (\( \mathbf{v}_n \approx N(0, \mathbf{R}_n) \)). \( \mathbf{H} \), the state-to-measurement matrix, takes the form:

\[ \mathbf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \Delta t \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \]  

(8)

Under normal operating conditions when the pre-processing step provides \( \mathbf{z}_n \) for frame \( n \), the recursive Kalman filter is defined by the well-known four steps. Considering \( \mathbf{P}_n \), the error covariance, the first step is devoted to predict state \( \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n|n-1} \) and error covariance as follows:

\[ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n|n-1} = \mathbf{A}\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n-1|n-1} + \mathbf{B}\hat{\mathbf{u}}_{n-1} \]  

(11)

and

\[ \mathbf{P}_{n|n-1} = \mathbf{AP}_{n-1}\mathbf{A}^T + \mathbf{Q} \]  

(12)

Note that symbols \( \hat{\cdot} \) and \( \cdot \) indicate estimation and prediction, respectively. Then \( \mathbf{P}_n \) is used to compute the Kalman gain \( \mathbf{K}_n \) as:

\[ \mathbf{K}_n = \mathbf{P}_{n|n-1}\mathbf{H}^T\left(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{P}_{n|n-1}\mathbf{H}^T + \mathbf{R}\right)^{-1} \]  

(13)

The state is estimated by weighting through \( \mathbf{K}_n \) the prediction and the measurement according to:

\[ \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n = \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n|n-1} + \mathbf{K}_n(\mathbf{z}_n - \mathbf{H}\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{n|n-1}) \]  

(14)

Finally, last step computes the error covariance:

\[ \mathbf{P}_n = \mathbf{P}_{n|n-1} - \mathbf{K}_n\mathbf{H}\mathbf{P}_{n|n-1}, \]  

(15)

and the algorithm is prepared to next iteration. In the case where no measures are available the estimation of the estate is performed directly by the prediction part according the model described in 7. The same is performed in the case in which the distance between the predicted value and the measure is greater than a value \( C_p \) (given in pixels) impossible to be covered by a fish in 0.1 s. The velocity \( v_x \) and \( v_y \) components are obtained directly by the Kalman observations by returning the complete state variable. Every time the fish enters in the shelter, the filter status is reset and each new track fragment starts with the parameters by default. From \( v_x \) and \( v_y \) we obtain the modulus of the instant velocity.

### 2.5. Scoring behavior from trajectories

Crude fish trajectories were not readily usable for comparing fish behavior. Instead, to describe general patterns of activity and exploration, six metrics were derived. First, \( \phi \) was the proportion of time spent active (i.e., outside the shelter). Second, preliminary histograms of the fish position along the longitudinal axis of the tank (Fig. 1), strongly suggest that fish tend to spend more time either near the shore or near the wall opposite to the shore, while spending short time at the middle of the arena. According to such a bimodal use of space, the data was fitted to an ad-hoc distribution consisting in the mixture of two (negative) exponential distributions, with respectively the origins at the shelter and at the opposite wall. This distribution is described by three parameters: \( p \) (proportion of time spend near the shelter, being \( 1-p \) the proportion of the time spend near the opposite wall), \( \lambda_{\text{shelter}} \) (the rate of the exponential distribution when the fish is near the shelter) and \( \lambda_{\text{opp}} \) (the rate of the exponential distribution when the fish is near the opposite wall). Third, \( d_{\text{total}} \) was the total distance traveled during 2 h measured as the sum of all between-frame displacements. Finally, preliminary histograms of the fish velocity. Displacements between consecutive frames strongly suggest that empirical speed distribution may be adjusted to a (negative) exponential distribution, which was described by \( \lambda_{\text{speed}} \) (the rate of the exponential distribution). The three exponential rates were inversed \((1/\text{rate})\) in order to facilitate interpretation of the parameter in terms of average. Empirical data was fitted to the distributions (either the ad-hoc distribution for positions along the longitudinal axis of the tank or the exponential distribution for speed) using a Bayesian fitting procedure. Raw data was reduced in order to alleviate temporal autocorrelation (1000 evenly distributed points in the temporal series were selected). Model, data and initial values were prepared using the R package (https://www.r-project.org/) from where a MCMC iterator was call (jags; http://mcmc-jags.sourceforge.net/). In all the cases, uninformative, flat priors were used and convergence was checked using conventional
criteria, after appropriate burning and thinning. Posterior distributions were estimated from three independent MCMCs (1000 valid iterations each). The remaining parameters ($d_{total}$ and $\phi$) were computed directly from the trajectories using an R ad-hoc script.

3. Results

The experimental setting has demonstrated to be able to successfully video recording the tank during all the experiment duration. The multi-tracking algorithm has been tested in four behavioral test of 2 h each in order to validate the strategy. Three fishes tagged as RR, RG and R were continuously tracked during each of these four tests. The estimated tracks for each specimen were verified by checking the coherence of transitions between the arena and the shelter and by visual inspection. Kalman filters in the post-processing stage help to fill missing points and contributes to clean tracks. Furthermore, the state model used also provides estimations of $x$, $y$ instantaneous velocity components. Fig. 5 a shows the time-sequences of $x$ and $y$ coordinates and the modulus of the instant velocity for one specimen in an entire experiment while 5.b shows the representation of those tracks in the arena. The trajectories of the three fish considered in one of the 2-h long test is shown in Fig. 6. The multi-tracking algorithm has successfully used to reconstruct the trajectory of the fish even when moving in a group, as suggested by the examples displayed at Fig. 6.

3.1. An overview of the activity patterns detected

Concerning the behavioral pattern depicted by the trajectories, the general patterns and the between- and within-fish variability of the six parameters distilled from the trajectories are shown in Fig. 7. In spite that the results refers only to three fish and four 2-h trajectories per day, some general patterns can be suggested. For instance, the individual #1 7 was the individual that spent less time out the shelter but when it is outside the shelter, it spent more time near the shelter and with an average position closer to it. It also showed the highest average speed. Overall, this metrics seems to suggest a conservative, low risk behavior. Opposite, the individual #3 (in blue at Fig. 7) spent more time out the shelter and father away. Despite showing less average speed than red and similar to green, it was the one that travelled more distance, so it explored a larger area during the four days.

4. Conclusions

The experimental setting has demonstrated to be able to successfully video record the tank during all the experiment duration. Furthermore, in order to deal with the experimental constrains and avoid storing huge amount of video sequences, we present an ad-hoc approach performed in two steps. The first step is done in real-time, and detects and transfers frame-to-frame object positions and other characteristics (as their areas) towards a computer. The information extracted in this step is required to identify the tags and hugely compacts high resolution video images (4K) processed at a rate of 10 frames per second into a smallest set of values. In long-lasting experiments that will be the information preserved and stored. The second step consists on processing that information.

---

Fig. 5. a) On the top, time-series of $x$ coordinates (longitudinal magnitude) registered for an individual tagged as RG along a complete experiment. Level above 2500 represents the shelter entrance in which the specimens disappear. In the middle time-series of $y$ coordinates. At the bottom time-series of the modulus of the instant velocity. b) Track representation.

Fig. 6. Track representation of an entire experiment of about 2 h. There are three fishes tagged as RR, R and RG.
to obtain the final tracks. It must not necessarily be done in real time. Moreover, we propose up to six metric for scoring fishes from trajectories, based in the use of shelter, the distribution fish position in relation to the shelter, the total distance traveled and the average speed. The preliminary results presented here are a successful proof of concept that the combination of video recording, and long-lasting trajectory reconstruction can be used for scoring fish behavior, and contribute to better understand the behavioral features of fish from long-lasting experiments. A better understanding of individual behavioural patterns in experimental conditions may help to understand better the observed behavioral patterns in the field (e.g., in comparative studies between areas with different conditions) and to figure out what could be the role of behaviour in artificial selection contexts.
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