ORIGINAL PAPER

Polar night ecology of a pelagic predator, the chaetognath *Parasagitta elegans*

Jordan J. Grigor · Ariane E. Marais · Stig Falk-Petersen · Øystein Varpe

Received: 28 February 2014/Accepted: 4 September 2014/Published online: 15 October 2014 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2014

Abstract The annual routines and seasonal ecology of herbivorous zooplankton species are relatively well known due to their tight coupling with their pulsed food source, the primary production. For higher trophic levels of plankton, these seasonal interactions are less well understood. Here, we study the mid-winter feeding of chaetognaths in high-Arctic fjord ecosystems. Chaetognaths are planktivorous predators which comprise high biomass in high-latitude seas. We investigated the common species Parasagitta elegans around the Svalbard archipelago (78-81°N) during the winters of 2012 and 2013. Our samples consisted of individuals (body lengths 9-55 mm) from three fjords, which were examined for gut contents (n = 903), stable isotopes, fatty acid composition, and maturity status (n = 352). About a quarter of the individuals contained gut contents, mainly lipid droplets and

This article belongs to the special Polar Night issue, coordinated by Ole Jørgen Lønne.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00300-014-1577-8) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

J. J. Grigor (⊠) Takuvik Joint International Laboratory, Université Laval, Québec, Canada e-mail: jordangrigor@gmail.com

J. J. Grigor Québec-Océan, Université Laval, Québec, QC G1V 0A6, Canada

J. J. Grigor · A. E. Marais Département de Biologie, Université Laval, Québec, QC G1V 0A6, Canada

J. J. Grigor · Ø. Varpe University Centre in Svalbard, 9171 Longyearbyen, Norway chitinous debris, whilst only 4 % contained identifiable prey, chiefly the copepods *Calanus* spp. and *Metridia longa*. The δ^{15} N content of *P. elegans*, and its average trophic level of 2.9, confirmed its carnivorous position and its fatty acid profile [in particular its high levels of 20:1(n-9) and 22:1(n-11)] confirmed carnivory on *Calanus*. Observations of undeveloped gonads in many of the larger *P. elegans*, and the absence of small individuals <10 mm, suggested that reproduction had not started this early in the year. Its average feeding rate across fjords and years was 0.12 prey ind.⁻¹ day⁻¹, which is low compared to estimates of spring and summer feeding in high-latitude environments. Our findings suggest reduced feeding activity during winter and that predation by *P. elegans* had little impact on the mortality of copepods.

Keywords Predator–prey interactions · Polar night · Foraging · Chaetognaths · Arctic · Zooplankton

S. Falk-Petersen · Ø. Varpe Akvaplan-niva, Fram Centre, 9296 Tromsø, Norway

S. Falk-Petersen Faculty of Biosciences, Fisheries and Economics, University of Tromsø, 9037 Tromsø, Norway

Ø. Varpe Norwegian Polar Institute, Fram Centre, 9296 Tromsø, Norway

Introduction

The Arctic is a highly seasonal environment, with conditions in the marine pelagic varying greatly between summer and winter. The extreme cycles in solar illumination and sea ice cover create strong seasonality in primary production (Ji et al. 2013), which affects food availability for organisms at higher trophic levels (Varpe 2012). Food availability for herbivores such as Calanus copepods is severely reduced during the polar night. Many grazers survive winter food shortages by resting at depth and sustaining themselves on storage lipids accumulated when food was last available (e.g. Conover 1988). Although pelagic omnivores and carnivores may experience a lesspulsed food source than for the herbivores, they may also display adaptations to a seasonal food source (e.g. Newbury 1971; Choe et al. 2003; Kraft et al. 2013). However, for many predators we lack knowledge of their feeding opportunities and activities during winter.

The chaetognath Parasagitta elegans (Verrill 1873) is highly represented in Arctic mesozooplankton communities, numerically and in biomass terms (e.g. Søreide et al. 2003; Hopcroft et al. 2005). This typically neritic species (Bieri 1959) can exert high predation pressure on Arctic copepods and compete with larval fish (e.g. Samemoto 1978). Some authors have proposed that chaetognaths are not particularly sensitive to seasonality (e.g. Hagen 1999), suggesting that their varied diets (reviewed by Terazaki 2004) and non-visual food search should allow them to find adequate nutrition throughout the year. Lipid-rich copepods, often preferred prey, diapause in large densities and in an unalert state during winter, so they may be easy prey for some carnivores (Darnis et al. 2012). However, some chaetognath species at high latitudes are found to contain considerable amounts of lipids, including wax esters, which may be used for storage (e.g. Lee 1974; Kruse et al. 2010). Furthermore, winter growth rates of P. elegans in the Arctic is lower than those in spring and summer (Grigor et al. 2014), and these observations could indicate reduced winter feeding and resting strategies in this chaetognath.

We report here on the winter feeding ecology of *P. elegans* in the European high Arctic. We used three different methods to assess its diet and trophic level: gut content analysis, which records recent feeding, as well as stable isotope and fatty acid trophic marker (FATM) analyses, which provide additional information on its feeding history, over time frames of weeks to months (Graeve et al. 2005). We calculated feeding rates and possible impact on copepod populations. Although we did not expect mid-winter reproduction (e.g. Kramp 1939; Grigor et al. 2014), we also examined their level of maturity, allowing us to infer how close *P. elegans* is to

reproducing, which links to how actively individuals are expected to feed.

Materials and methods

Study area

Chaetognaths were collected from waters around Svalbard (78–81°N) during the winters of 2012 and 2013, during the ARCTOS "Polar Night Cruises" (8 to 21 January 2012 and 9 to 18 January 2013).

The Svalbard archipelago is a meeting place for warm Atlantic water sourced from more southern regions and colder Arctic water from the north. The northward moving West Spitsbergen Current deposits Atlantic water into fjords on the west coast, with one branch finally turning north-east at the top of Spitsbergen and affecting conditions in the Arctic Ocean (Saloranta and Haugen 2001). We sampled three fjords in 2012: Rijpfjorden (station R3), Isfjorden proper, and Adventfjorden, a tributary fjord of Isfjorden (station ISA, see Fig. 1). The latter two locations are hereafter referred to as "Isfjorden". Another fjord, Kongsfjorden, was sampled in 2013, and Rijpfjorden was re-sampled. See Table S1 for full details on the sampling activities. Isfjorden (78°N, 14°E) and Kongsfjorden (79°N, 11.5°E) are influenced by Atlantic water masses and the outer parts are ice free for much of the year (Svendsen et al. 2002; Nilsen et al. 2008), causing important seasonal changes in biodiversity and animal populations in these fjords. Rijpfjorden, in contrast (80°N, 22°E), is influenced by Arctic water, typically remaining ice-covered from December/February to July (Wallace et al. 2010).

Physical and biological environment

At all stations, vertical profiles of salinity, temperature, density, and fluorescence were obtained using a CTD (SBE 9) and processed following standard Sea Bird Electronics (SBE) procedures. In 2012, a steep thermocline was observed in Rijpfjorden between ~70 and 100 m, over which temperature rose to 2 °C and then fell again to 0.5 °C at ~200 m (Fig. S1 in the Supplement). At the mouth of Isfjorden, the thermocline was much weaker, with temperature increasing gradually to 2 °C at ~200 m. At Isfjorden (station ISA), temperature varied little with depth (Fig. S1). At all stations, salinity varied with depth in similar ways to temperature. A strong halocline occurred in Rijpfjorden only, at the same depths as the thermocline (Fig. S1). Fluorescence was very low (<0.6 g 1^{-1}) at all stations in January 2012.



Fig. 1 Map showing the locations of the stations sampled for chaetognaths in January 2012 and 2013

Zooplankton sampling

Horizontal trawls of the large Methot Isaac Kidd gear (MIK, 3.14-m² opening, 1.5-mm mesh) were used to obtain large numbers of *P. elegans* for the various dietary analyses (Table S1). MIK sampling depths in Rijpfjorden and Isfjorden (in 2012) captured all water masses in the fjords (melt water, Arctic water/mixed Fram Strait water and Arctic deep water). In contrast, most MIK sampling for chaetognaths in 2013 (Kongsfjorden and Rijpfjorden) was carried out in the upper 20 m (Table S1). This sampling decision was taken to reduce haul time, as chaetognaths can easily become damaged and stressed during net sampling, which poses several problems for gut content analyses (Baier and Purcell 1997).

In Rijpfjorden in 2012, vertical hauls of the smaller Multi-Plankton Sampler gear (MPS, 0.25-m² opening, 0.2mm mesh) were also performed to collect zooplankton community data (see also Daase et al. 2014) and to source smaller P. elegans for gut content analyses (sampled strata: 260-200 m, 200-100 m, 100-50 m, 50-20 m, 20-0 m; see Table S1 for details). The MPS captured higher densities of smaller P. elegans (10–19 mm) in the upper 20 m than the MIK (0.5 \pm 0.4 compared to 0.1 \pm 0.1 ind. m⁻³), but fewer individuals $\geq 20 \text{ mm}$ (1.8 \pm 1.7 compared to 5.9 ± 8.2 ind. m⁻³). Individuals <10 mm were not captured by either gear in Rijpfjorden or Isfjorden in 2012. Grigor et al. (2014) similarly showed that the MPS captured the smaller size fraction of P. elegans more efficiently than a larger "WP3" net with a 1-m² opening. MPS samples collected from Isfjorden ISA on 27 January 2012 were also analysed for abundance data.

Sample processing

Upon retrieval of each MIK haul, the cod end was immediately transferred to a marked bucket and diluted up to the 101 mark. A 0.61 sub-sample was taken for community analysis and fixed in 4 % buffered formalinseawater solution. Webster et al. (2013) show presenceabsence data for zooplankton in Rijpfjorden from the community samples from 2012. From a second 0.6 l subsample, 100 P. elegans were randomly picked out for gut content analyses (Table S1) and preserved in 4 % buffered formalin-seawater solution. On the 2012 cruise, 75-150 P. elegans were also picked out of this second sub-sample for stable isotope analyses (n individuals = 675, Table S1), and 30 individuals were randomly picked out for fatty acid trophic marker (FATM) analyses (*n* individuals = 290, Table S1). These samples were frozen at -80 °C until the end of the cruise and then stored at -80 °C for further processing.

Gut content analyses

A total of 202 individuals from 2012 (body lengths 10–55 mm) and 701 from 2013 (body lengths 9–42 mm) were dissected and analysed for gut contents. In 2012, a minimum of 10 individuals were dissected from each MIK haul. In 2013, we examined the guts of all 100 individuals preserved from each MIK haul; 3–10 smaller individuals were sourced from each MPS haul (Table S1).

Parasagitta elegans individuals were measured to the nearest millimetre (excluding the caudal fin) and stained with a solution of lignin-red, used to stain tissues of crustacean prey in their guts (Falkenhaug 1991), and borax carmine, capable of highlighting the gonads of prey (Pierce 1941), diluted 50 times in water. Head widths were measured to the nearest 0.01 millimetre under the binocular microscope. All visible gut contents were described. Detected prey was identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level and photographed using a digital camera connected to a dissecting microscope (Leica DFC 320).

Food-containing ratio and feeding rate

The food-containing ratio (FCR) is the proportion of individuals in a population with food in their gut. Some only include chaetognaths containing identifiable prey in the FCR calculation (FCR_{min}, e.g. Falkenhaug 1991; Kruse et al. 2010). However, this approach may not account for loss of prey items from guts during net towing, due to damage or stress-induced regurgitation (Baier and Purcell 1997, see "Discussion"). Other studies also included individuals with other signs of recent prey digestion (gut lipids and debris, e.g. Samemoto 1978; Froneman and

Pakhomov 1998), which may give an upper estimate of the proportion feeding (FCR_{max}). FCR_{max} measurements may not be appropriate for some chaetognath species, such as those that contain oil vacuoles in the centre of their bodies. This includes another Arctic species, *Eukrohnia hamata* (Möbius 1875), in which oil vacuoles may be for storage or buoyancy (Øresland 1990; Pond 2012, pers. obs.). However, these oil vacuoles do not occur in *P. elegans*. We calculated both FCR_{max} and FCR_{min} for *P. elegans* from each haul. We also calculated feeding rates (FR: no. of prey items consumed ind.⁻¹ day⁻¹) according to Eq. (1). Only individuals containing identifiable prey were included in the FR calculation.

$$FR = \frac{n_{\text{prey}} \times 24}{t_{\text{dig}}} \tag{1}$$

where $n_{\text{prey}} =$ mean no. of identifiable prey per chaetognath, and $t_{\text{dig}} =$ digestion time in hours. Feigenbaum (1982) suggested a t_{dig} of 10.2 h for *P. elegans* from a laboratory study on starved specimens from Vineyard Sound, maintained at 0 °C. As the water temperature in all our study fjords was close to 0 °C (Fig. S1), we used Feigenbaum's t_{dig} estimate.

Proportions of individuals per haul containing different gut types were analysed using Kruskal–Wallis tests.

Stable isotope analyses

As the ratios of ¹³C/¹²C and ¹⁵N/¹⁴N in organisms at different trophic levels tend to differ considerably, stable isotope analyses can be used to examine marine food web structure and the transfer of energy between trophic levels (McConnaughey and McRoy 1979). Stable isotope analyses were performed on our 2012 samples at the Institute for Energy Technology in Kjeller, Norway, using similar methods to Søreide et al. (2006). Three replicate samples each containing 25 P. elegans were analysed from seven of the MIK hauls, whilst six replicate samples of 25 inds. were analysed from an eighth MIK haul at 225m in Riipfjorden (Table S1). All P. elegans had body lengths within the range of 10-50 mm. The whole body of each chaetognath was used, except lipids and non-dietary carbon (i.e. carbonates), which were removed before analyses by Soxhlet extraction with CH₂Cl₂. Lipids have notably lower levels of ¹³C than proteins and carbohydrates (van Dongen et al. 2002), and their removal reduces a main source of measurement variability between individuals (Hobson and Welch 1992). C/N ratios were expressed as the deviation from standards in ppt (‰) according to Eq. (2) (Søreide et al. 2006).

$$\delta X = \left[\left(R_{\text{sample}} / R_{\text{standard}} \right) - 1 \right] \times 1000 \tag{2}$$

where $X = {}^{13}$ C or 15 N and R = the corresponding ratios 13 C/ 12 C or 15 N/ 14 N. International standards, Pee Dee Belemnite for δ^{13} C (PDB: USGS 24), and atmospheric air for δ^{15} N (IAEA-N-1 and 2) were used to determine R. Carbon and nitrogen composition were expressed as percentages of animal dry weight.

Determination of trophic level

The trophic level (TL) of *P. elegans* in each fjord was calculated as the difference between its average $\delta^{15}N$ content and that of the winter food web baseline, assuming a constant fractionation between trophic levels [Eq. (3), Søreide et al. 2006].

$$\Gamma L = \alpha + \frac{\delta^{15} N P. elegans - \delta^{15} N C. glacialis}{\Delta N}$$
(3)

where α = trophic level of the food web baseline and ΔN = the trophic enrichment factor for $\delta^{15}N$ (average amplification) per trophic level. We took the abundant grazer *Calanus glacialis* (α = 2) to represent the winter food web baseline. This decision was made because *P. elegans* are thought to be carnivores that typically do not feed on primary production but feed often on *Calanus*, and primary production is anyway low in winter (pers. comm.: J Søreide).

We used a δ^{15} N value for *Calanus glacialis* of 9.6 \pm 0.2 ‰, estimated for individuals from 300 m in Svalbard fjords during December (Søreide et al. 2008). We used $\Delta N = 3.4$ ‰, also determined for the European Arctic by Søreide et al. (2006).

Fatty acid analyses

Groups of primary producers and some herbivores produce fatty acids that are unique to them, known as fatty acid trophic markers (FATMs, Dalsgaard et al. 2003). Higher consumers possess a lower capacity to synthesise their own fatty acids, or to modify those received from their prey. Therefore, when FATMs persist in a predator for some time with little modification, they can be used to quantify its diet (Dalsgaard et al. 2003).

Determination of the fatty acid signatures of *P. elegans* from Isfjorden and Rijpfjorden (in 2012) were performed on triplicate samples from nine MIK hauls (Table S1) at UNILAB, Tromsø, Norway, using similar methods to Wold et al. (2011). Each sample contained 10 pooled individuals with dry weights between 0.001 and 0.05 g. Total lipid was extracted in 15 ml 2:1 chloroform–methanol with butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) (Folch et al. 1957). Each sample was supplemented with a known amount of the fatty acid 21:0, as an internal standard, and trans-methylated in methanol containing 1 % sulphuric acid with toluene for

16 h at 50 °C. The relative compositions (%) of FA methyl esters were determined on an Agilent 6890 N gas chromatograph, equipped with a fused silica, wall-coated capillary column with an Agilent 7683 injector and flame ionisation detection. The fatty acid methyl esters were identified and quantified by gas chromatography. Results are given as relative percentages of the various fatty acids identified in specimens from the two fjords. Differences in average fatty acids proportions between sampled depths in Isfjorden and Rijpfjorden were analysed using one-way ANOVA. Differences in the fatty acid profile between fjords was analysed using the Mann–Whitney U test (sum rank test).

Mid-winter maturity status

Chaetognaths are hermaphrodites possessing male and female gonads (Alvarino 1992). The male gonads (testes and seminal vesicles) produce and secrete sperm, whilst the female gonads (ovaries and seminal receptacles) produce ova and receive sperm from a sexual partner (Alvarino 1992). Mature P. elegans are characterised by advanced ovaries containing large oocytes, high sperm loads filling the tail section of the animal, and pairs of pronounced seminal receptacles and vesicles (Russell 1932; Choe et al. 2003). We described the maturity of 29 of the largest individuals (40–51 mm, 8×1 mm size classes), and in 323 smaller individuals (13–39 mm, 26×1 mm size classes) in MIK samples from all fjords (both years, Table S1). Measured individuals were stained with borax carmine solution to highlight their gonads (Pierce 1941). Maturity assessments were made under the binocular microscope following the methods of Grigor et al. (2014). Ovaries were measured to the nearest 0.1 mm, and individuals showing advanced ovary development were taken as those with ovaries >5.4 mm, whilst shorter ovaries <5.4 mm were considered to be poorly developed. The volume of loose sperm in the tail was estimated to the nearest 25 %. Low sperm loads could suggest that sperm is filling the tail or has already been released. However, when sperm has already been released, remaining sperm appears more sparsely distributed. Therefore, spent individuals can be separated from those which have not yet secreted sperm. The size of the seminal receptacles was also described (see Grigor et al. 2014 for further details).

Results

Chaetognath abundance and prey field

In Rijpfjorden in 2012, the most abundant zooplankton taxa based on the MPS sampling were the larger calanoid

Table 1 Total water-column abundances (ind. m^{-3}) of a polar night zooplankton community (Rijpfjorden 2012), ordered according to abundance per taxonomic group

Taxonomic group	Functional (feeding) group	Abundance (ind. m^{-3})	
Cyclopoida (Copepoda)	Omnivores	1,467.6	
Small Calanoida (Copepoda)	Omnivores	1,257.5	
Calanus spp. (Copepoda) CI- AF	Herbivores	881.5	
Metridia longa	Omnivore	78.6	
Tunicata	Variable between species	36.9	
Ctenophora	Carnivores	23.5	
Limacina helicina (Pteropoda)	Herbivore	17.3	
Parasagitta elegans (Chaetognatha)	Carnivore	5.5	
Clione limacina (Pteropoda)	Carnivore	3.9	
Other Mollusca	Variable between species	3.9	
Isopoda	Variable between species	3.4	
Eukrohnia hamata (Chaetognatha)	Carnivore	1.4	
Travisiopsis sp. (Polychaeta)	Carnivores	1.2	
Harpacticoida (Copepoda)	Variable between species	0.9	
Echinodermata	Variable between species	0.6	
Hydrozoan medusae	Variable between species	0.6	
Euphasiacea	Variable between species	0.3	
Apherusa glacialis (Amphipoda)	Herbivore	0.1	
Carnivorous Calanoid copepods	Carnivores	0.1	
Pseudomma truncatum (Mysidacea)	Omnivore	0.1	
Ostracoda	Variable between species	0.1	

Net sampling (Multi-Plankton Sampler; 0.25-m^2 opening, 0.2 -mm mesh) was used. As larger chaetognaths may have avoided the smaller MPS net (see Grigor et al. 2014), chaetognath abundances presented here are likely to be underestimates. Mean abundances for species and copepod stages were first calculated over two hauls (one at midday and the other at midnight at various depth intervals, see Table S1), and data were summed across all sampling depths. Copepod stages are CI-CV, AM (adult male) and AF (adult female). Functional (feeding) groups were extracted from Søreide et al. (2003). "Small Calanoida" comprised the following taxa: Acartia longiremis, Aetideidae CI-CIII, Bradyidius similis, Microcalanus spp. and Pseudocalanus spp. Only taxa with abundances of ≥ 0.1 ind. m⁻³ are shown

copepod *Calanus finmarchicus* and the smaller copepods *Pseudocalanus* spp. (Calanoida) and *Oithona similis* (Cyclopoida) (Table 1). *P. elegans* was the most abundant

chaetognath, but overall chaetognaths were also less abundant than the non-copepod taxa *Oikopleura* spp. (Tunicata) and *Beroe cucumis* (Ctenophora) (Table 1). Average *P. elegans* abundances in Rijpfjorden MIK trawls ranged from 2.4 ± 1.2 ind. m⁻³ (225 m) to 14.7 ± 7.0 ind. m⁻³ (75 m), comparing relatively well with the MPS results. For further details on the polar night zooplankton community in Rijpfjorden, see Webster et al. (2013), Kraft et al. (2013), and Daase et al. (2014).

Gut contents

Observed gut contents were copepods, chitinous debris, lipid droplets, and other detritus that could not be identified. The proportions of P. elegans with gut contents (FCR_{max}) varied between fjords and years (Kruskal-Wallis test, P < 0.01), ranging from 9 to 53 % (Fig. 2). Some individuals contained two or more gut content types. Lipid droplets were the most common gut observation overall (Figs. 3, 4), observed in 106 (11.7 %) of the 903 chaetognaths. A total of 33 individuals (3.7 %) contained identifiable copepod prey, including C. finmarchicus, Metridia longa, and harpacticoid copepods. Proportions containing prey (FCR_{min}) also varied between fjords and years (Kruskal–Wallis test, P < 0.05), ranging from 0 to 10 % (Fig. 2). FRs ranged from 0.00 to 0.24 prey ind.⁻¹ day⁻¹ (mean = 0.12 prey ind.⁻¹ day⁻¹). Amongst feeding *P. elegans*, median per haul proportions with prey varied with fjord (Kruskal–Wallis test, P < 0.005), but proportions with other gut contents did not (P > 0.05,Fig. 3). The relationship between chaetognath head width and body length was linear (y = 0.031x + 0.37), $R^2 = 0.79$), and prey was identified in individuals with head widths >0.87 mm (Fig. 4). Per haul proportions of P. elegans with gut contents increased with head width size class (Kruskal–Wallis test, P < 0.05, Fig. 4), but amongst feeders, proportions with each gut content type did not vary with head width (P > 0.05, Fig. 4).

Body composition

Values for carbon and nitrogen isotopes varied little between fjords and sampling depths (Table 2). The δ^{13} C content of *P. elegans* ranged from $-22.0 \pm 0.3 \%$ (Rijpfjorden) to $-21.5 \pm 0.2 \%$ (Isfjorden), whilst δ^{15} N content ranged from $12.5 \pm 0.3 \%$ to $12.9 \pm 0.1 \%$ (both Isfjorden). Average trophic level (TL) based on the δ^{15} N content was 2.9, and average *C/N* ratio over fjords and depths was 3.1 (Table 2).

Parasagitta elegans fatty acid signature did not differ between Isfjorden and Rijpfjorden (Mann–Whitney U test, P > 0.05). Similarly, percentage composition of almost all fatty acids did not differ between sampling depths in the two fjords (one-way ANOVA, P > 0.05). The high levels of 18:1(n-9) compared with those of 18:1(n-7) strongly indicate carnivory. The *Calanus* fatty acid marker 20:1(n-9) and 22:1(n-11) were both abundant. The dinoflagellate marker docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) 22:6(n-3) was recorded in interestingly high amounts, whilst eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) 20:5(n-3) was recorded in moderate to high amounts (Table 3).

Mid-winter maturity status

Amongst the largest individuals in the population (body lengths 40–51 mm), 55 % had well-developed seminal receptacles, whereas 93 % had relatively high sperm volumes in their tails (50–100 %) and all had advanced ovaries (\geq 5.4 mm). In smaller individuals (lengths 13–39 mm), 45 % had well-developed seminal receptacles and 42 % had advanced ovaries; 80 % had synthesised relatively high sperm volumes (filling 50–100 % of the tail area).

Discussion

Studies during the polar night

Studies of plankton ecology in the high Arctic are typically restricted to spring and summer, due to the logistical difficulties of sampling during winter. The polar night cruise, of which our study formed a part, offered unique possibilities for a better understanding of polar night marine ecology (see Berge et al. 2012; Webster et al. 2013; Daase et al. 2014).

We show that the common chaetognath *P. elegans* remains an active carnivore during the polar night. This arrow worm is not in a dormant and non-feeding state although its feeding rates may be considerably lower than in spring and summer, and reproduction is absent (or occurring at very low rates) at this time of year. These observations add to the increasing awareness of activity levels and ecological interactions of pelagic organisms during the polar night.

Feeding activity and rates

Copepods are abundant in Svalbard fjords during winter (Table 1) and as a non-visual predator *P. elegans* should, as opposed to fish and other visual predators, be able to encounter and catch them also during the polar night. The behaviour of some copepods during the polar night (i.e. diapausing in an unalert state) could make them particularly susceptible to predators such as chaetognaths (Darnis et al. 2012). About a quarter of the *P. elegans* individuals showed signs of recent feeding (mainly lipid



Fig. 2 Proportions (%) of P. elegans individuals per haul with gut contents (FCR_{max}), identifiable prey (FCR_{min}), and empty guts in each fjord. The horizontal line inside each boxplot shows the median of the proportions over multiple haul samples in a fjord. The lower and upper boxes show the lower and upper quartiles, respectively, and the vertical lines outside the boxes the differences between these quartiles

100

and the lowest and highest proportions observed. Each dot represents an outlying data point. n_{hauls} = numbers of hauls for each fjord. Hauls with <3 individuals analysed were not included. As only one haul was analysed for Rijpfjorden in 2013, full boxplots could not be shown. See Table 1 for numbers of individuals analysed per haul

Fjord



Isfjorden (2012) $n_{\text{hauls}} = 4$ Proportion (%) 80 Rijpfjorden (2012) 60 $n_{\text{hauls}} = 12$ 40 Kongsfjorden (2013) 20 $n_{\text{hauls}} = 6$ Rijpfjorden (2013) 0 $n_{\text{hauls}} = 1$ Containing Containing Containing Containing copepod prey chitinous debris lipids unidentified detritus Head-width class 100 📙 0.54-0.86 mm $n_{\text{inds.}} = 2$ 80 Proportion (%) 0.87-1.19 mm 60 $n_{\text{inds.}} = 34$ 1.20-1.52 mm 40 $n_{\text{inds.}} = 44$ 20 1.53-1.85 mm $n_{\text{inds.}} = 21$ 0 ≥1.86 mm Feeders Containing Containing Containing Containing $n_{\text{inds.}} = 7$ copepod prey unidentified chitinous lipids debris detritus

Fig. 4 Gut contents in ascending head width size classes: proportions of P. elegans (%) per haul with gut contents and of feeders with each gut content type. Includes all dissected specimens from Isfjorden (50 individuals, 4 hauls) and Rijpfjorden (152 individuals, 13 hauls) in 2012. For details on the features of the boxplots and the data, see Fig. 2. $n_{\text{inds.}}$ = total numbers of individuals for each size class

droplets). The body of P. elegans does not contain a centralised oil vacuole, suggesting that all lipid droplets in P. elegans guts remained from recently digested prey, yet only 4 % contained identifiable prey. Based on Feigenbaum's (1982) digestion time estimate of 10.2 h at 0 °C (likely longer in colder waters), our findings would

Location	Trawl depth (m)	δ ¹³ C (‰)	δ ¹⁵ N (‰)	DW %C	DW %N	C/N	TL
Mouth of Isfjorden	250	-21.7 ± 0.0	12.5 ± 0.3	48.4 ± 0.5	15.6 ± 0.4	3.1 ± 0.0	2.9
Isfjorden (ISA)	30	-21.5 ± 0.2	12.9 ± 0.1	48.4 ± 0.4	15.5 ± 0.1	3.1 ± 0.0	3.0
"	35	-21.5 ± 0.1	12.8 ± 0.2	47.9 ± 1.1	15.4 ± 0.4	3.1 ± 0.0	2.9
-"-	60	-21.6 ± 0.1	12.9 ± 0.1	48.3 ± 0.8	15.5 ± 0.4	3.1 ± 0.0	3.0
Rijpfjorden	20	-22.0 ± 0.0	12.5 ± 0.2	48.7 ± 0.3	15.8 ± 0.1	3.1 ± 0.0	2.9
"	75	-21.8 ± 0.2	12.5 ± 0.2	48.0 ± 0.2	15.4 ± 0.0	3.1 ± 0.0	2.9
-"-	75	-22.0 ± 0.3	12.6 ± 0.3	48.9 ± 0.4	15.7 ± 0.2	3.1 ± 0.0	2.9
''	225	-21.8 ± 0.1	12.5 ± 0.1	48.6 ± 0.4	15.8 ± 0.2	3.1 ± 0.0	2.9

Table 2 Stable carbon and nitrogen isotope values for *P. elegans* by the MIK (3.14-m² opening, 1.5-mm mesh) at various trawl depths (20, 30, 35, 60, 75, and 225 m) in Isfjorden and Rijpfjorden (2012)

The average δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N composition (‰) in replicate samples (usually three but six from 225 m in Rijpfjorden) containing 25 pooled individuals (10–50 mm), average proportions of animal dry weight (DW) comprising carbon and nitrogen (%), and *C/N* weight ratios. All values are accompanied by standard deviations. Trophic levels (TL) were calculated for *P. elegans* from each fjord from mean δ^{15} N (‰) values (see "Methods")

Table 3 Average fatty acid profile for P. elegans in 2012

Fatty acid	Mean % Isfjorden $(n \text{ samples} = 12)$	Mean % Rijpfjorder $(n \text{ samples} = 17)$
14:0 FA	5.02 ± 2.09	4.13 ± 0.62
14:1(n-5) FA	0.54 ± 0.06	0.52 ± 0.08
15:0 FA	$0.72\pm0.07^\dagger$	0.73 ± 0.06
16:0 FA	11.91 ± 1.16	12.65 ± 1.47
16:1(n-5) FA	2.97 ± 0.41	3.30 ± 0.39
16:1(n-7) FA	7.13 ± 0.27	6.05 ± 0.33
18:0 FA	1.09 ± 0.13	1.18 ± 0.20
18:1(n-7) FA	1.95 ± 0.28	1.74 ± 0.18
18:1(n-9) FA	5.35 ± 0.65	5.82 ± 0.54
18:2(n-6) FA	$1.28\pm0.11^{\dagger}$	1.35 ± 0.09
18:3(n-3) FA	1.11 ± 0.11	1.37 ± 0.07
18:4(n-3) FA	1.76 ± 0.63	2.35 ± 0.35
20:1(n-9) FA	14.80 ± 1.02	11.46 ± 1.71
20:4(n-3) FA	0.82 ± 0.10	0.99 ± 0.07
20:5(n-3) FA (EPA)	11.41 ± 0.68	12.73 ± 0.75
22:1(n-11) FA	6.77 ± 1.38	5.69 ± 0.71
22:1(n-7) FA	0.47 ± 0.02	0.78 ± 0.30
22:5(n-3) FA	0.60 ± 0.14	0.69 ± 0.15
22:6(n-3) FA (DHA)	18.01 ± 2.33	18.81 ± 1.99
24:1(n-9) FA	2.88 ± 0.21	3.81 ± 0.62

Results are given as average percentages of the various fatty acids identified across all samples from Isfjorden and Rijpfjorden (see "Methods"), alongside the standard deviations. Only fatty acids with mean percentages of $\geq 0.5 \pm 0.1$ across both fjords are shown. In Isfjorden, the mean percentages of 15:0 FA and 18.2(n-6) FA differed between sampling depths (indicated by a[†] symbol, one-way ANOVA, P < 0.05). In Rijpfjorden, the proportion of every fatty acid was similar between sampling depths (one-way ANOVA, P > 0.05)

suggest that most individuals had not fed for several hours before their capture. In contrast, Falkenhaug (1991) identified prey in 36 % of the *P. elegans* population

collected from the Barents Sea in summer. Our average estimate of per capita feeding in P. elegans was 0.12 prey ind.⁻¹ day⁻¹, corresponding to 0.66 prey ind.⁻¹ m⁻³ consumed per day by the P. elegans population (based on the abundance data in Table 1). Their predation impact on Calanus is therefore low given the high Calanus abundances (Table 1, see also Daase et al. 2014). Calanus are assumed to be in a dormant, non-feeding state during winter (Falk-Petersen et al. 2009). Daase et al. (2014) reported on *Calanus* from the same cruise in 2012 and found that the C. finmarchicus population in Rijpfjorden comprised mainly copepodite stage CV and some CIVs, which could indicate overwintering. However, these authors also noted that "the bulk of the C. finmarchicus and C. glacialis population was found close to the surface and not at greater depth where they presumably should overwinter", suggesting they were not in an unalert dormancy phase, at least not in January. If not, these copepods may be more alert to the presence of predators than assumed, allowing them to avoid or escape chaetognaths.

Our FR estimate is similar to that obtained for immature specimens in northern Sweden in autumn–winter (0.2 prey ind.⁻¹ day⁻¹) and much lower than reported for spring–summer (0.7–0.9 prey ind.⁻¹ day⁻¹, Øresland 1987). These findings suggest that feeding rates for *P. elegans* at high-latitude drop during winter, corresponding well with the reduced growth rates observed during winter (Grigor et al. 2014), and the lack of reproduction at this time of year (this study). In less seasonal environments, energetic requirements may vary less between the seasons, accounting for relatively higher feeding rates at lower latitudes, also during winter and early spring (e.g. up to 1.33 prey ind.⁻¹ day⁻¹ in Vineyard Sound, Feigenbaum 1982).

However, care should be taken when comparing estimates of feeding rates between studies, because each study detects prey with various levels of precision. For example, we did not search for mandible remains in guts to detect further signs of recent copepod digestion, as in other studies (e.g. Falkenhaug 1991; Giesecke and Gonzalez 2004). Feeding estimates in chaetognaths are also highly sensitive to sampling methodology. As well as the risk of damage to their fragile bodies, stressed chaetognaths may also regurgitate gut contents, leading to underestimates of true feeding rates. Baier and Purcell (1997) estimated prey loss from guts of ~ 50 % when tows were longer than 2 min. Such short hauls can be unfeasible, especially when using large trawl nets such as the MIK, and when sampling populations in deeper waters. Further studies should therefore utilise new zooplankton imaging devices for observing chaetognaths in the water column without necessarily capturing them in nets. Optical methods are promising avenues (e.g. Schulz et al. 2010, Sainmont et al. 2014).

Energetics

Stable isotope and FATM analyses confirmed the position of P. *elegans* as a predator during the polar night. From its average trophic level (TL) of 2.9, it can be classified as a carnivore according to a trophic model devised for the European Arctic (Søreide et al. 2006, in which carnivores had TLs between 2.9 and 3.3). $\delta^{15}N$ (an indicator of protein content) and $\delta^{13}C$ (an indicator of organic matter content) values varied little with station or depth, and agree well with values from the Barents Sea in March (Søreide et al. 2006). δ^{15} N values were, however, lower than reported from the Bering Sea (14.7 \pm 0.7 ‰, Lovvorn et al. 2005), suggesting that P. elegans in the sub-Arctic Pacific typically feed higher up the food chain (also see "Lipid profile" section of "Discussion"). Many factors affect the elemental composition of zooplankton species, including age, size maturity status, reproductive strategies, as well as variations in the composition and growth rates of primary producers (Ikeda 1974), yet for P. elegans in the Barents Sea, δ^{15} N values seem to vary little throughout the year. Søreide et al. (2006) reported δ^{15} N values ranging from 11.9 \pm 0.2 % in spring to 12.2 ± 0.1 ‰ in winter. δ^{13} C values varied slightly more between spring $(-19.3 \pm 0.8 \text{ \%})$ and winter $(-20.8 \pm 0.3 \%)$.

Lipid profile

The high levels of the FATMs 20:1(n-9) and 22:1(n-11) confirm that *P. elegans* is part of the Arctic *Calanus*-based food web (Falk-Petersen et al. 2007; Wold et al. 2011). Furthermore, the high level of 22:6(n-3) indicates that the base of the food chain is dominated by dinoflagellates, which can be very abundant in waters north of Svalbard in

spring-summer (Hegseth and Sundfjord 2008). This lipid signature agrees well with previous results from the European sub-Arctic (Falk-Petersen et al. 1987). Both the presence of green detritus in P. elegans guts, and the surprisingly high levels of the dinoflagellate fatty acid marker docosahexaenoic acid, may suggest that some omnivory and/or detritivory occurs. Non-carnivorous feeding in chaetognaths has been suggested by Casanova et al. (2012). In the Canadian Arctic, Eukrohnia hamata and Pseudosagitta maxima have been observed ingesting green detritus under the microscope (pers. obs.). Eukrohnia species in Antarctica were found to contain higher amounts of 16:0, reflecting feeding on the Antarctic copepods including Rhincalanus gigas (Kruse et al. 2010). We suggest that winter feeding is captured in these results, following the suggestions of Graeve et al. (2005) that a new signal (source of food) will be visible relatively quickly ($\sim 4-8$ days after feeding). If P. elegans starves during autumn and early winter, and if 22:6(n-3) undergoes little transformation or metabolism (Dalsgaard et al. 2003), it remains possible that this signal is retained from feeding on Calanus during a previous summer or autumn bloom (Falk-Petersen et al. 1990; Wold et al. 2011). However, this study and others (e.g. Feigenbaum 1982; Øresland 1987; Falkenhaug 1991) suggest a low likelihood of long-term fasting in P. elegans.

An important difference between the two main chaetognath species in Arctic waters, Eukrohnia hamata and P. elegans, is that the former typically possess oil vacuoles in the centre of their bodies, whilst the latter do not (Øresland 1990; Pond 2012, pers. obs.). Previous studies that considered the presence of oil vacuoles in this species to reflect recent feeding may therefore have overestimated feeding rates (e.g. Samemoto 1978; Froneman and Pakhomov 1998). The role of these vacuoles in E. hamata (e.g. buoyancy, storage) is not yet clear (Pond 2012), but unlike P. elegans, E. hamata has a cosmopolitan range. In the Arctic, both species commonly occur at epipelagic depths (Bieri 1959), but in the North Pacific, E. hamata populations are commonly found residing deeper, and throughout a wider depth range than P. elegans (e.g. Bieri 1959; Alvarino 1964). Maintaining such wide vertical distributions would certainly require a strong control of buoyancy, which could be offered by having centrally positioned oil vacuoles (Pond 2012). Lipid reserves form a central component of the life history of polar zooplankton species (Falk-Petersen et al. 2009; Varpe et al. 2009). In Antarctica, 34 % of examined Eukrohnia bathyantarctica individuals were found to contain oil droplets in summer compared to 57 % of individuals in winter. This species also contained relatively high amounts of the fatty acid 18:1(n-9), which is found in storage lipids (Kruse et al. 2010). If the primary role of oil vacuoles is storage, this could suggest that survival and possibly reproduction in E.

hamata is less dependent on concurrent food intake than in *P. elegans*. Studies of the extent of capital breeding (Varpe et al. 2009) in *E. hamata* are therefore needed.

Reproduction

Small *P. elegans* <10 mm were absent from MPS samples from January, and whilst many individuals above 20 mm had synthesised high sperm loads, many of the largest P. elegans specimens in the nets (40-51 mm) still lacked well-developed seminal receptacles, suggesting that they were not fully mature (Russell 1932; Choe et al. 2003). Grigor et al. (2014) frequently observed pronounced receptacles in individuals ≥ 20 mm from February to May, after which they disappeared. In the Arctic, breeding of P. elegans generally takes place in spring and summer (Kramp 1939; Ussing 1939; Bogorov 1940; Grigor et al. 2014); this is in contrast to *Eukrohnia hamata*, which may also reproduce in winter (pers. obs.). Saito and Kiørboe (2001) showed that *P. elegans* <5 mm in the North Sea fed almost exclusively on prey <350 µm in length. In Svalbard, the prey in this size range is represented by small cyclopoids such as O. similis, as well as Calanus nauplii and the young Calanus stages. In winter, individuals may be waiting for increased food input before they reproduce or for suitable food for the young and newborns to feed on (in terms of size and availability). By investing energy into maturation during winter, egg hatching can be scheduled to coincide with the reproduction of a wide range of copepod prey in spring and summer, and the buoyancy of chaetognath eggs may also allow them to hatch in shallow waters (Hagen 1999 and references therein) amongst their grazing prey. In another fjord on Svalbard, large numbers of P. elegans eggs became visible in the water column in March 2003, suggesting that conditions for reproduction begin to improve at this time of year (Hirche and Kosobokova 2011).

Concluding remarks

Knowledge of an organism's activity level and foraging ecology outside of the windows of the main primary production period (spring–summer) is key to establishing an understanding of the full annual routine (Varpe 2012). Here, we have focused on the polar night feeding ecology of a predatory zooplankter, adding to recent work on the life history and vertical distribution (Grigor et al. 2014). We found the chaetognath *P. elegans* to feed during the polar night, but with feeding rates lower in winter than have been reported from other seasons. As growth rates (e.g. Dunbar 1962; Grigor et al. 2014) may also decrease at this time and reproduction does not seem to occur, individuals should have lower-energy requirements and require less food. Although several copepod taxa may rest at depth in an unalert state during the Arctic winter, our study shows that mortality on copepods caused by *P. elegans* in the water column is low at this time of year. This study did, however, not sample the zone immediately above the seafloor, the hyperbenthic zone, where high densities of chaetognaths are known to aggregate during winter (Choe and Deibel 2000), and where abundances of resting copepods may also peak. The activities of *P. elegans* in this zone, as well as those of the other Arctic species *Eukrohnia hamata* and *Pseudosagitta maxima*, require more attention.

Acknowledgments We are grateful to the crew and scientific staff on the two R/V *Helmer Hanssen* cruises for their help in sampling and especially Carl Ballantine. We wish to thank Clare Webster and Dr. Katarzyna Blachowiak-Samolyk for providing the zooplankton community data from Rijpfjorden in 2012, and Ida Helene Funderud Kallevik and Eike Stübner for MPS data from Isfjorden ISA in 2012. Thanks also to the Institute for Energy Technology for running the stable isotope analyses, UNILAB for running the fatty acid analyses, and Anette Wold and Janne Søreide for suggestions and comments on the fatty acid and isotope results. JG and AM received stipends from Québec-Océan, and gratefully acknowledge Québec-Océan and Professor Louis Fortier for their scientific support. This is a contribution towards the two projects Marine Night (226417) and Circa (214271), funded by the Research Council of Norway.

References

- Alvarino A (1964) Bathymetric distribution of chaetognaths. Pac Sci 18:64–82
- Alvarino A (1992) Chaetognatha. In: Adiyodi KG, Adiyodi RG (eds) Reproductive biology of invertebrates: V: sexual differentiation and behaviour. Wiley, Chichester, pp 425–470
- Baier CT, Purcell JE (1997) Effects of sampling and preservation on apparent feeding by chaetognaths. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 146:37–42
- Berge J, Varpe Ø, Moline MA, Renaud PE, Daase M, Falk-Petersen S (2012) Retention of ice-associated amphipods: possible consequences for an ice-free Arctic Ocean. Biol Lett 8:1012–1015
- Bieri R (1959) The distribution of the planktonic Chaetognatha in the Pacific and their relationship to the water masses. Limnol Oceanogr 4:1–28
- Bogorov BG (1940) On the biology of Euphausiidae and Chaetognatha in the Barents Sea. Bull de las Soc des Baturalistes de Moscou BS 4:3–18
- Casanova JP, Barthélémy RM, Duvert M, Faure E (2012) Chaetognaths feed primarily on dissolved and fine particulate organic matter, not on prey: implications for marine food webs. Hypo Life Sci 2:20–29
- Choe N, Deibel D (2000) Seasonal vertical distribution and population dynamics of the chaetognath *Parasagitta elegans* in the water column in the hyperbenthic zone of Conception Bay, Newfoundland. Mar Biol 137:847–856
- Choe N, Deibel D, Thompson RJ, Lee SH, Bushell VK (2003) Seasonal variation in the biochemical composition of the chaetognath *Parasagitta elegans* from the hyperbenthic zone of Conception Bay, Newfoundland. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 251:191–200
- Conover RJ (1988) Comparative life histories in the genera *Calanus* and *Neocalanus* in high-latitudes of the northern hemisphere. Hydrobiologia 167:127–142

- Daase M, Varpe Ø, Falk-Petersen S (2014) Non-consumptive mortality in copepods: occurrence of *Calanus* spp. carcasses in the Arctic Ocean during winter. J Plankton Res 36:129–144
- Dalsgaard J, St. John M, Gerard K, Muller-Navarra D, Hagen W (2003) Fatty acid trophic markers in the pelagic marine environment. Adv Mar Biol 46:227–318
- Darnis G, Robert D, Pomerleau C, Link H, Archambault P, Nelson RJ, Geoffroy M, Tremblay J-É, Lovejoy C, Ferguson S, Hunt BV, Fortier L (2012) Current state and trends in Canadian Arctic marine ecosystems: II. Heterotrophic food web, pelagic-benthic coupling, and biodiversity. Clim Change 115:179–205
- Dunbar MJ (1962) The life cycle of Sagitta elegans in Arctic and Subarctic seas, and the modifying effects of hydrographic differences in the environments. J Mar Res 20:76–91
- Falkenhaug T (1991) Prey composition and feeding rate of *Sagitta elegans* var. *arctica* (Chaetognatha) in the Barents Sea in early summer. Polar Res 10:487–506
- Falk-Petersen S, Sargent JR, Tande K (1987) Food pathways and life strategy in relation to the lipid composition of sub-Arctic zooplankton. Polar Biol 8:115–120
- Falk-Petersen S, Hopkins CCE, Sargent JR (1990) Trophic relationships in the pelagic, Arctic food web. In: M Barnes, Gibson RN (eds) Trophic relationships in the marine environment. Proceedings of 24th European marine biology symposium. Aberdeen University Press, Aberdeen, pp 315–333
- Falk-Petersen S, Timofeev S, Pavlov V, Sargent JR (2007) Climate variability and possible effects on Arctic food chains. The role of Calanus. In: Ørbæk JB, Tombre T, Kallenborn R, Hegseth EN, Falk-Petersen S, Hoel AH (eds) Arctic-alpine ecosystems and people in a changing environment. Springer, Berlin, pp 147–166
- Falk-Petersen S, Mayzaud P, Kattner G, Sargent JR (2009) Lipids and life strategy of Arctic *Calanus*. Mar Biol Res 5:18–39
- Feigenbaum DL (1982) Feeding by the chaetognath, *Sagitta elegans*, at low temperatures in Vineyard Sound, Massachusetts. Limnol Oceanogr 27:699–706
- Folch J, Lees M, Sloane-Stanley GH (1957) A simple method for isolation and purification of total lipids from animal tissue. J Biol Chem 226:497–509
- Froneman PW, Pakhomov EA (1998) Trophic importance of the chaetognaths *Eukrohnia hamata* and *Sagitta gazellae* in the pelagic system of the Prince Edward Islands (Southern Ocean). Polar Biol 19:242–249
- Giesecke R, Gonzalez HE (2004) Mandible characteristics and allometric relations in copepods: a reliable method to estimate prey size and composition from mandible occurrence in predator guts. Rev Chil Hist Nat 77:607–616
- Graeve M, Albers C, Kattner G (2005) Assimilation and biosynthesis of lipids in Arctic *Calanus* species based on ¹³C feeding experiments with a diatom. J Exp Mar Biol Ecol 317:109–125
- Grigor JJ, Søreide JE, Varpe Ø (2014) Seasonal ecology and life history strategy of the high-latitude predatory zooplankter *Parasagitta elegans*. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 499:77-88
- Hagen W (1999) Reproductive strategies and energetic adaptations of polar zooplankton. Invertebr Reprod Dev 36:25–34
- Hegseth EN, Sundfjord A (2008) Intrusion and blooming of Atlantic phytoplankton species in the high Arctic. J Mar Syst 74:108–119
- Hirche HJ, Kosobokova KN (2011) Winter studies on zooplankton in Arctic seas: the Storfjord (Svalbard) and adjacent ice-covered Barents Sea. Mar Biol 158:2359–2376
- Hobson KA, Welch HE (1992) Determination of trophic relationships within a high arctic marine food web using $\delta 13C$ and $\delta 15$ N analysis. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 84:9–18
- Hopcroft RR, Clarke C, Nelson RJ, Raskoff KA (2005) Zooplankton communities of the Arctic's Canada Basin: the contribution by smaller taxa. Polar Biol 28:198–206

- Ikeda T (1974) Nutritional ecology of marine zooplankton. Mem Fac Fish Hokkaido Univ 22:1–97
- Ji R, Jin M, Varpe Ø (2013) Sea ice phenology and timing of primary production pulses in the Arctic Ocean. Glob Change Biol 19:734–741
- Kraft A, Berge J, Varpe Ø, Falk-Petersen S (2013) Feeding in Arctic darkness: mid-winter diet of the pelagic amphipods *Themisto* abysorrum and *T. libellula*. Mar Biol 160:241–248
- Kramp PL (1939) The Godthaab Expedition 1928. Chaetognatha. Medd Grønland 80:1–38
- Kruse J, Hagen W, Bathmann U (2010) Feeding ecology and energetics of the Antarctic chaetognaths *Eukrohnia hamata*, *E. bathypelagica* and *E. bathyantarctica*. Mar Biol 157:2289–2302
- Lee RF (1974) Lipid composition of the copepod *Calanus hyperboreus* from the Arctic Ocean. Changes with depth and season. Mar Biol 26:313–318
- Lovvorn JR, Cooper LW, Brooks ML, De Ruyck CC, Bump JK, Grebmeier JM (2005) Organic matter pathways to zoo-plankton and benthos under pack ice in late winter and open water in late summer in the north-central Bering Sea. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 291:135–150
- McConnaughey T, McRoy CP (1979) ¹³C label identifies eel- grass (*Zostera marina*) carbon in an Alaskan estuarine food web. Mar Biol 53:263–269
- Newbury TK (1971) Adaptations of chaetognaths to subarctic conditions. PhD thesis, McGill University, Canada
- Nilsen F, Cottier F, Skogseth R, Mattsson S (2008) Fjord-shelf exchanges controlled by ice and brine production: the interannual variation of Atlantic Water in Isfjorden, Svalbard. Cont Shelf Res 28:1838–1853
- Øresland V (1987) Feeding of the chaetognaths *Sagitta elegans* and *S. setosa* at different seasons in Gullmarsfjorden, Sweden. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 39:67–79
- Øresland V (1990) Feeding and predation impact of the chaetognath *Eukrohnia hamata* in Gerlache Strait, Antarctic Peninsula. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 63:201–209
- Pierce EL (1941) The occurrence and breeding of Sagitta elegans Verrill and Sagitta setosa J. Miller in parts of the Irish Sea. J Mar Biol Assoc UK 25:113–124
- Pond D (2012) The physical properties of lipids and their role in controlling the distribution of zooplankton in the oceans. J Plankton Res 34:443–453
- Russell FS (1932) On the biology of Sagitta. The breeding and growth of Sagitta elegans Verrill in the Plymouth area, 1930–1931. J Mar Biol Assoc UK 18:131–146
- Sainmont J, Gislason A, Heuschele J, Webster C, Sylvander P, Wang M, Varpe Ø (2014) Inter- and intra-specific diurnal habitat selection of zooplankton during the spring bloom observed by Video Plankton recorder. Mar Biol 161:1931–1941
- Saito H, Kiørboe T (2001) Feeding rates in the chaetognath *Sagitta elegans*: effects of prey size, prey swimming behaviour and small-scale turbulence. J Plankton Res 23:1385–1398
- Saloranta TM, Haugen PM (2001) Interannual variability in the hydrography of Atlantic water northwest of Svalbard. J Geophys Res 106:13931–13943
- Samemoto DD (1978) Vertical distribution and ecological significance of chaetognaths in the Arctic environment of Baffin Bay. Polar Biol 7:317–328
- Schulz J, Barz K, Ayon P, Ludtke A, Zielinski O, Mengedoht D, Hirche H-J (2010) Imaging of plankton specimens with the lightframe on-sight key species investigation (LOKI) system. J Eur Opt Soci Rapid Publ 5:1–9
- Søreide JE, Hop H, Falk-Petersen S, Gulliksen B, Hansen E (2003) Macrozooplankton communities and environmental variables in the Barents Sea marginal ice zone in late winter and spring. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 263:43–64

- Søreide JE, Hop H, Carroll ML, Falk-Petersen S, Hegseth EN (2006) Seasonal food web structures and sympagic-pelagic coupling in the European Arctic revealed by stable isotopes and a two-source food web model. Prog Oceanogr 71:59–87
- Søreide JE, Falk-Petersen S, Hegseth EN, Hop H, Carroll ML, Hobson KA, Blachowiak-Samolyk K (2008) Seasonal feeding strategies of *Calanus* in the high-Arctic Svalbard region. Deep-Sea Res II 55:2225–2244
- Svendsen H, Beszczynska-Møller A, Hagen JO, Lefauconnier B, Tverberg V, Gerland S, Ørbæk JB, Bischof K, Papucci C, Zajaczkowski M, Azzolini R, Bruland O, Wiencke C, Winther J-G, Dallmann W (2002) The physical environment of Kongsfjorden–Krossfjorden, an Arctic fjord system in Svalbard. Polar Res 21:133–166
- Terazaki M (2004) Life history strategy of the chaetognath Sagitta elegans in the World Oceans. Coast Mar Sci 29:1–12
- Ussing HM (1939) Biology of some important plankton animals in fjords of East Greenland. Medd Grønland 100:1–108
- van Dongen BE, Schouten S, Sinninghe Damsté JS (2002) Carbon isotope variability in monosaccharides and lipids of aquatic algae and terrestrial plants. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 232:83–92

- Varpe Ø (2012) Fitness and phenology: annual routines and zooplankton adaptations to seasonal cycles. J Plankton Res 34:267–276
- Varpe Ø, Jørgensen C, Tarling GA, Fiksen Ø (2009) The adaptive value of energy storage and capital breeding in seasonal environments. Oikos 118:363–370
- Wallace MI, Cottier FR, Berge J, Tarling GA, Griffiths C, Brierley AS (2010) Comparison of zooplankton vertical migration in an ice-free and a seasonally ice-covered Arctic fjord: an insight into the influence of sea ice cover on zooplankton behavior. Limnol Oceanogr 55:831–845
- Webster C, Varpe Ø, Falk-Petersen S, Berge J, Stübner E, Brierley A (2013) Moonlit swimming: vertical distributions of macrozooplankton and nekton during the polar night. Polar Biol. doi:10. 1007/s00300-013-1422-5
- Wold A, Jæger I, Hop H, Gabrielsen GW, Falk-Petersen S (2011) Arctic seabird food chains explored by fatty acid composition and stable isotopes in Kongsfjorden, Svalbard. Polar Biol 34:1147–1155